

**PANEL HEDDLU A THROSEDDU DYFED-POWYS**  
**5<sup>ED</sup> CHWEFROR 2021**

**PRAESEPT YR HEDDLU**

**Yr argymhellion / penderfyniadau allweddol sydd eu hangen:**

Adolygu'r praesept y mae'r Comisiynydd yn cynnig ei gyflwyno ar gyfer y flwyddyn ariannol sydd i ddod ac wedyn cyflwyno adroddiad i'r Comisiynydd ynghylch y praesept arfaethedig hwnnw.

**Y Rhesymau:**

Mae Atodlen 5 o Ddeddf Diwygio'r Heddlu a Chyfrifoldeb Cymdeithasol 2011 yn ei gwneud yn ofynnol i'r Panel gyflawni'r swyddogaeth hon.

Awdur yr Adroddiad:

Y Cynghorydd Keith Evans

Swyddi:

Aelod Arweiniol y Panel

Cyfeiriadau e-bost:

Keith.evans@ceredigion.gov.uk

**DYFED-POWYS POLICE AND CRIME PANEL**  
**5<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2021**

**POLICE PRECEPT**

Schedule 5 of the Police Reform and Social Responsibility Act 2011 provides that the Police and Crime Commissioner cannot issue a precept under section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 for a financial year until the Panel has reviewed the proposed precept and reported to the Commissioner upon the proposal.

Any such report to the Commissioner may include recommendations, including a recommendation as to the precept which the Panel believes should be issued.

The Panel may, having reviewed the proposed precept, choose to veto it. Such a course of action must be supported by two-thirds of the total membership of the Panel. If the Panel does choose to veto the proposed precept the report to the Commissioner must contain a statement that the Panel has vetoed the precept. Where the panel does veto the precept, the Commissioner must not issue the proposed precept and must, having regard to the report issued by the Panel, respond to that report and publish that response by the 15<sup>th</sup> February 2020.

Regulations also require the Commissioner to indicate in that response the precept he proposes to issue. The Panel then must, within the timescale prescribed by the 2011 Act, review the revised precept and report to the Commissioner upon it. That report may indicate whether the Panel accepts or rejects the revised precept (and may make recommendations upon it). The Commissioner must consider the further report from the Panel and respond to it. That response must also be published. However the rejection by the Panel of a revised precept does not amount to a veto. Where the Panel rejects the revised precept the Commissioner may still proceed to issue the revised precept despite that rejection.

Where the Panel does not veto the precept, the Commissioner must respond to the report to the Panel and publish that response, but may proceed to issue the precept (or a different precept where to do so would be in accordance with recommendations contained within the report issued by the Panel)

The Panel has identified this matter as one of its priorities for and has nominated Cllr. Keith Evans to lead on this issue on its behalf.

**DETAILED REPORT ATTACHED?**

**YES**

## IMPLICATIONS

**I confirm that other than those implications which have been referred to in detail below, there are no other implications associated with this report:**

**Signed:** *R J Edgecombe (Lead Officer to the Panel)*

Policy, Crime & Disorder and Equalities	Legal	Finance	ICT	Risk Management Issues	Staffing Implications	Physical Assets
<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>	<b>NONE</b>

**Section 100D Local Government Act, 1972 – Access to Information  
List of Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:**

**THESE ARE DETAILED BELOW**

Title of Document	File Ref No.	Locations that the papers are available for public inspection
<b>Host Authority File</b>	<b>PACP013</b>	<b>County Hall Carmarthen</b>